

3. The petitioner had preferred an application for furlough. The only ground on which the furlough application came to be rejected is that the petitioner belongs to a gang of criminals. It is an admitted fact that since last nine years the petitioner had not been released on parole or furlough. The petitioner is now seeking furlough.

4. The learned Advocate for the petitioner has submitted that the conduct of the petitioner in jail, in past seven years, is good. There are no adverse remarks against him in the last nine years.

5. In this view of the matter, we are inclined to release the petitioner on furlough. The petitioner shall be released on furlough on his complying with the usual terms and conditions. In addition thereto, the petitioner shall inform the jail authorities the address at which he will reside during the period that he is on furlough and he shall report to the nearest police station to that address on every alternate day, during the period that he is on furlough.

6. Rule is made absolute in above terms.

(D.G.DESHPANDE, J.)

(SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI, J.)